

# Classification of certain types of maximal matrix subalgebras

John Eggers  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California at San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093-0112  
jeggers@ucsd.edu

Ron Evans  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California at San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093-0112  
revans@ucsd.edu

Mark Van Veen  
Varasco LLC  
2138 Edinburg Avenue  
Cardiff by the Sea, CA 92007  
mark@varasco.com

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15B33, 16S50

*Key words and phrases.* matrix ring over a field, intersection of matrix subalgebras, nonunital intersections, subalgebras of maximum dimension, parabolic subalgebra, semi-simple Lie algebra, radical

## Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{M}_n(K)$  denote the algebra of  $n \times n$  matrices over a field  $K$  of characteristic zero. A nonunital subalgebra  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}_n(K)$  will be called a *nonunital intersection* if  $\mathcal{N}$  is the intersection of two unital subalgebras of  $\mathcal{M}_n(K)$ . Appealing to recent work of Agore, we show that for  $n \geq 3$ , the dimension (over  $K$ ) of a nonunital intersection is at most  $(n-1)(n-2)$ , and we completely classify the nonunital intersections of maximum dimension  $(n-1)(n-2)$ . We also classify the unital subalgebras of maximum dimension properly contained in a parabolic subalgebra of maximum dimension in  $\mathcal{M}_n(K)$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{M}_n(F)$  denote the algebra of  $n \times n$  matrices over a field  $F$ . For some interesting sets  $\Lambda$  of subspaces  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{M}_n(F)$ , those  $\mathcal{S} \in \Lambda$  of maximum dimension over  $F$  have been completely classified. For example, a theorem of Gerstenhaber and Serezhkin [6, Theorem 1] states that when  $\Lambda$  is the set of subspaces  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{M}_n(F)$  for which every matrix in  $\mathcal{S}$  is nilpotent, then each  $\mathcal{S} \in \Lambda$  of maximum dimension is conjugate to the algebra of all strictly upper triangular matrices in  $\mathcal{M}_n(F)$ . For another example, it is shown in [1, Prop. 2.5] that when  $\Lambda$  is the set of proper unital subalgebras  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{M}_n(F)$  and  $F$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, then each  $\mathcal{S} \in \Lambda$  of maximum dimension is a parabolic subalgebra of maximum dimension in  $\mathcal{M}_n(F)$ .

The goal of this paper is to classify the elements in  $\Lambda$  of maximum dimension in the cases  $\Lambda = \Gamma$  and  $\Lambda = \Omega$ , where the sets  $\Gamma$  and  $\Omega$  are defined below.

In Isaac's text [3, p. 161], every ring is required to have a unity, but the unity in a subring need not be the same as the unity in its parent ring. Under this definition, a ring may have subrings whose intersection is not a subring. This motivated us to study examples of pairs of unital subrings in  $\mathcal{M}_n(K)$  whose intersection  $\mathcal{N}$  is nonunital, where  $K$  is a field of characteristic zero. We call such  $\mathcal{N}$  a *nonunital intersection* and we let  $\Gamma$  denote the set of all nonunital intersections  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ . Note that  $\Gamma$  is closed under transposition and conjugation, i.e., if  $\mathcal{N} \in \Gamma$ , then  $\mathcal{N}^T \in \Gamma$  and  $S^{-1}\mathcal{N}S \in \Gamma$  for any invertible  $S \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ .

In order to define  $\Omega$ , we need to establish some notation. For brevity, write  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_n = \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ . In the spirit of [2, p. viii], we define a subalgebra of

$\mathcal{M}$  to be a vector subspace of  $\mathcal{M}$  over  $K$  closed under the multiplication of  $\mathcal{M}$  (cf. [2, p. 2]); thus a subalgebra need not have a unity, and the unity of a unital subalgebra need not be a unity of the parent algebra. Subalgebras  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{M}$  are said to be similar if  $\mathcal{A} = \{S^{-1}BS : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$  for some invertible  $S \in \mathcal{M}$ . The notation  $\mathcal{M}[R_n]$  will be used for the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}$  consisting of those matrices whose  $n$ -th row is zero. Similarly,  $\mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n]$  indicates that the  $n$ -th row and  $n$ -th column are zero, etc. For  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , let  $E_{i,j}$  denote the elementary matrix in  $\mathcal{M}$  with a single entry 1 in row  $i$ , column  $j$ , and 0 in each of the other  $n^2 - 1$  positions. The identity matrix in  $\mathcal{M}$  will be denoted by  $I$ . For the maximal parabolic subalgebra  $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{M}[R_n] + KE_{n,n}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , define  $\Omega$  to be the set of proper subalgebras  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $\mathcal{B} \neq \mathcal{M}[R_n]$ .

We now describe Theorems 3.1–3.3, our main results. Theorem 3.1 shows that  $\dim \mathcal{N} \leq (n-1)(n-2)$  for each  $\mathcal{N} \in \Gamma$ . Theorem 3.2 shows that up to similarity,  $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{M}[R_n, R_{n-1}, C_n]$  and  $\mathcal{W}^T := \mathcal{M}[R_n, C_{n-1}, C_n]$  are the only subalgebras in  $\Gamma$  having maximum dimension  $(n-1)(n-2)$ . In Theorem 3.3, we show that  $\dim \mathcal{B} \leq n^2 - 2n + 3$  for each  $\mathcal{B} \in \Omega$ , and we classify all  $\mathcal{B} \in \Omega$  of maximum dimension  $n^2 - 2n + 3$ .

The proofs of our theorems depend on four lemmas which are proved in Section 2. Lemma 2.1 shows that  $\mathcal{W}$  (and hence also  $\mathcal{W}^T$ ) is a nonunital intersection of dimension  $(n-1)(n-2)$  when  $n \geq 3$ . Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 show that  $\dim \mathcal{L} \leq n(n-1)$  for any nonunital subalgebra  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , and when equality holds,  $\mathcal{L}$  must be similar to  $\mathcal{M}[R_n]$  or  $\mathcal{M}[C_n]$ . (Thus if  $\Lambda$  denotes the set of nonunital subalgebras  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 classify those  $\mathcal{L} \in \Lambda$  of maximum dimension.) Lemma 2.4 shows that if  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}$  is a subalgebra with unity different from  $I$ , then some conjugate of  $\mathcal{U}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n]$ .

## 2 Lemmas

Recall the definition  $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{M}[R_n, R_{n-1}, C_n]$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *For  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\mathcal{W} \in \Gamma$  and  $\dim \mathcal{W} = (n-1)(n-2)$ .*

*Proof.* For  $n > 1$ , define  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  by  $A = I + E_{n,n-1}$ . Note that  $A^{-1} = I - E_{n,n-1}$ . A straightforward computation shows that for  $M \in \mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n]$ , the conjugate  $AMA^{-1}$  is obtained from  $M$  by replacing the (zero) bottom row of  $M$  by the  $(n-1)$ -th row of  $M$ . Since the bottom two rows of  $AMA^{-1}$

are identical, it follows that

$$AMA^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n] \cap A\mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n]A^{-1} \text{ if and only if } AMA^{-1} \in \mathcal{W}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{W} = A^{-1}\mathcal{W}A$ , this shows that  $\mathcal{W}$  is the intersection of the unital subalgebras  $A^{-1}\mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n]A$  and  $\mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n]$ . To see that  $\mathcal{W}$  is nonunital, note that  $E_{1,n-1}$  is a nonzero matrix in  $\mathcal{W}$  for which  $E_{1,n-1}W$  is the zero matrix for each  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ ; thus  $\mathcal{W}$  cannot have a right identity, so  $\mathcal{W} \in \Gamma$ . Finally, it follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{W}$  that  $\dim \mathcal{W} = (n-1)(n-2)$ .  $\square$

*Remark:* The same proof shows that  $\mathcal{W} \in \Gamma$  holds when the field  $K$  is replaced by an arbitrary ring  $R$  with  $1 \neq 0$ . If moreover  $R$  happens to be commutative, then the dimension of the algebra  $\mathcal{W}$  over  $R$  is well-defined [7, p. 483] and it equals  $(n-1)(n-2)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any nonunital subalgebra  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{L} \leq n(n-1)$ .*

*Proof.* It cannot happen that  $\mathcal{L} + KI = \mathcal{M}$ , otherwise  $\mathcal{L}$  would be a two-sided proper ideal of  $\mathcal{M}$ , contradicting the fact that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a simple ring [7, p. 280]. Since  $\mathcal{L} + KI$  is a proper subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}$  containing the unity  $I$ , it follows from Agore [1, Cor. 2.6] that  $\dim \mathcal{L} = -1 + \dim (\mathcal{L} + KI) \leq n(n-1)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Any nonunital subalgebra  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{M}$  with  $\dim \mathcal{L} = n(n-1)$  must be similar to either  $\mathcal{M}[R_n]$  or  $\mathcal{M}[C_n] = \mathcal{M}[R_n]^T$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the two parabolic subalgebras  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}' \subset \mathcal{M}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_K = \mathcal{M}[R_n] + KE_{n,n}, \quad \mathcal{P}' = \mathcal{P}'_K = \mathcal{M}[C_1] + KE_{1,1}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{P}'$  is similar to the transpose  $\mathcal{P}^T$ . Since  $\mathcal{L} + KI$  is a proper subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}$  of dimension  $n(n-1)+1$ , it follows from Agore [1, Prop. 2.5] that  $\mathcal{L} + KI$  is similar to  $\mathcal{P}$  or  $\mathcal{P}'$ , under the condition that  $K$  is algebraically closed. However, Nolan Wallach [8] has proved that this condition can be dropped; see the Appendix. Thus, replacing  $\mathcal{L}$  by a conjugate if necessary, we may assume that  $\mathcal{L} + KI = \mathcal{P}$  or  $\mathcal{L} + KI = \mathcal{P}^T$ . We will assume that  $\mathcal{L} + KI = \mathcal{P}$ , since the proof for  $\mathcal{P}^T$  is essentially the same. It suffices to show that  $\mathcal{L}$  is similar to  $\mathcal{M}[R_n]$  or  $\mathcal{M}[C_1]$ , since  $\mathcal{M}[C_1]$  is similar to  $\mathcal{M}[C_n]$ .

Assume temporarily that each  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  has all entries 0 in its upper left  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  corner. Then  $n = 2$ , because if  $n \geq 3$ , then every matrix in  $\mathcal{P}$  would have a zero entry in row 1, column 2, contradicting the definition of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{M}_2[C_1]$  and both sides have dimension 2, we have  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}_2[C_1]$ , which proves the theorem under our temporary assumption.

When the temporary assumption is false, there exists  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  with the entry 1 in row  $i$ , column  $j$  for some fixed pair  $i, j$  with  $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ . Since  $E_{i,i}$  and  $E_{j,j}$  are in  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L} + KI$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{P}$ , we have  $E_{i,j} = E_{i,i}LE_{j,j} \in \mathcal{L}$ . Consequently,  $E_{a,b} = E_{a,i}E_{i,j}E_{j,b} \in \mathcal{L}$  for all pairs  $a, b$  with  $1 \leq a \leq n-1$  and  $1 \leq b \leq n$ . Therefore

$$\mathcal{M}[R_n] = \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=1}^n KE_{a,b} \subset \mathcal{L},$$

and since both  $\mathcal{M}[R_n]$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  have the same dimension  $n(n-1)$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}[R_n]$ .  $\square$

*Remark:* Any subalgebra  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{M}$  properly containing  $\mathcal{M}[R_n]$  must also contain  $I$ . To see this, note that  $\mathcal{B}$  contains a nonzero matrix of the form

$$B := \sum_{i=1}^n c_i E_{n,i}, \quad c_i \in K.$$

If  $c_j = 0$  for all  $j < n$ , then  $E_{n,n} \in \mathcal{B}$ , so  $I \in \mathcal{B}$ . On the other hand, if  $c_j \neq 0$  for some  $j < n$ , then  $E_{n,n} = c_j^{-1}BE_{j,n} \in \mathcal{B}$ , so again  $I \in \mathcal{B}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose that a subalgebra  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}$  has a unity  $e \neq I$ . Then  $S^{-1}\mathcal{U}S \subset \mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n]$  for some invertible  $S \in \mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r$  be the rank of the matrix  $e$ . Note that  $e$  is idempotent, so by [5, p. 27], there exists an invertible  $S \in \mathcal{M}$  for which  $S^{-1}eS = D_r$ , where  $D_r$  is a diagonal matrix with entries 1 in rows 1 through  $r$ , and entries 0 elsewhere. Replacing  $\mathcal{U}$  by  $S^{-1}\mathcal{U}S$  if necessary, we may assume that  $e = D_r$ . Since  $r \leq n-1$ , we have

$$\mathcal{U} = e\mathcal{U}e \subset e\mathcal{M}e = D_r\mathcal{M}D_r \subset D_{n-1}\mathcal{M}D_{n-1} = \mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n].$$

$\square$

### 3 Theorems

Recall that  $\Gamma$  is the set of all nonunital intersections in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $\mathcal{N} \in \Gamma$ , then  $\dim \mathcal{N} \leq (n-1)(n-2)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{N} \in \Gamma$ , so that  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}$  for some pair of unital subalgebras  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}$  is nonunital, one of  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$ , say  $\mathcal{U}$ , does not contain  $I$ . Thus  $\mathcal{U}$  contains a unity  $e \neq I$ . Define  $S$  as in Lemma 2.4. Replacing  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{N}$  by  $S^{-1}\mathcal{U}S, S^{-1}\mathcal{V}S, S^{-1}\mathcal{N}S$ , if necessary, we deduce from Lemma 2.4 that  $\mathcal{U}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n]$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}$  is a nonunital subalgebra of  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n]$ , it follows from Lemma 2.2 with  $(n-1)$  in place of  $n$  that  $\dim \mathcal{N} \leq (n-1)(n-2)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $n \geq 3$ . Then up to similarity,  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{W}^T$  are the only subalgebras of  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\Gamma$  having dimension  $(n-1)(n-2)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1, every subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}$  similar to  $\mathcal{W}$  or  $\mathcal{W}^T$  lies in  $\Gamma$  and has dimension  $(n-1)(n-2)$ . Conversely, let  $\mathcal{N} \in \Gamma$  with  $\dim \mathcal{N} = (n-1)(n-2)$ . We must show that  $\mathcal{N}$  is similar to  $\mathcal{W}$  or  $\mathcal{W}^T$ .

We may assume, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, that  $\mathcal{N}$  is a nonunital subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n]$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}$  consisting of those matrices in the upper left  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  corners of the matrices in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Since  $\dim \mathcal{L} = \dim \mathcal{N} = (n-1)(n-2)$ , it follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $\mathcal{L}$  is similar to  $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}[R_{n-1}]$  or  $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}[C_{n-1}]$ . Thus  $\mathcal{N}$  is similar to  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{M}[R_n, R_{n-1}, C_n]$  or  $\mathcal{W}^T = \mathcal{M}[R_n, C_{n-1}, C_n]$ .  $\square$

Recall that  $\Omega$  denotes the set of proper subalgebras  $\mathcal{B} \neq \mathcal{M}[R_n]$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{B} \in \Omega$ . Then  $\dim \mathcal{B} \leq n^2 - 2n + 3$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  has maximum dimension  $n^2 - 2n + 3$ , then  $\mathcal{B}$  is similar to one of*

$$\mathcal{M}E_{n,n} + \mathcal{M}[R_n, C_1] + KE_{1,1}, \quad \mathcal{M}E_{n,n} + \mathcal{M}[R_n, R_{n-1}] + KE_{n-1, n-1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $e \in \mathcal{M}$  denote the diagonal matrix of rank  $n-1$  with entry 0 in row  $n$  and entries 1 in the remaining rows. Because  $e$  is a left identity in  $\mathcal{M}[R_n]$  and  $\mathcal{B}e \subset \mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n]$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{B}e$  is an algebra.

First suppose that  $\mathcal{B}e = \mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n]$ . Then  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{D}$ , where

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} + KE_{n,n}, \quad \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{M}[R_n]E_{n,n}.$$

We proceed to show that  $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{D}$  is zero. Assume for the purpose of contradiction that there exists a nonzero matrix  $B \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{D}$ . Then  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . We have  $\mathcal{B}B = \mathcal{D}$ , since the matrices in  $\mathcal{B}$  have all possible submatrices in their

upper left  $(n-1)$  by  $(n-1)$  corners. Thus  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{M}[R_n] \subset \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} + KE_{n,n}$ . If  $KE_{n,n} \subset \mathcal{B}$ , then  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{P}$ , and if  $KE_{n,n}$  is not contained in  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{M}[R_n]$ ; either case contradicts the fact that  $\mathcal{B} \in \Omega$ .

Since  $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{D}$  is zero,

$$\dim \mathcal{B} \leq \dim \mathcal{C} = \dim \mathcal{P} - \dim \mathcal{D} = (n^2 - n + 1) - (n - 1) = n^2 - 2n + 2.$$

Thus

$$\dim \mathcal{B} < n^2 - 2n + 3,$$

so the desired upper bound for  $\dim \mathcal{B}$  holds when  $\mathcal{B}e = \mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n]$ .

Next suppose that  $\mathcal{B}e$  is a proper subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n]$ . We proceed to show that

$$d := \dim \mathcal{B}e \leq (n-1)(n-2) + 1,$$

by showing that

$$\dim \mathcal{L} \leq (n-1)(n-2) + 1$$

for every proper subalgebra  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}$ . If  $\mathcal{L}$  is nonunital, then

$$\dim \mathcal{L} \leq (n-1)(n-2) < (n-1)(n-2) + 1$$

by Lemma 2.2 (with  $n-1$  in place of  $n$ ). If  $\mathcal{L}$  contains a unit different from the identity of  $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}$ , then by Lemma 2.4 (with  $\mathcal{L}$  in place of  $\mathcal{U}$ ),

$$\dim \mathcal{L} \leq \dim \mathcal{M}[R_{n-1}, C_{n-1}] = (n-2)^2 < (n-1)(n-2) + 1.$$

If  $\mathcal{L}$  contains the identity of  $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}$ , then by Agore [1, Cor. 2.6],

$$\dim \mathcal{L} \leq (n-1)(n-2) + 1.$$

This completes the demonstration that  $d \leq (n-1)(n-2) + 1$ .

Let  $B_1e, B_2e, \dots, B_de$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{B}e$ , with  $B_i \in \mathcal{B}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a subspace of the vector space spanned by the  $d+n$  matrices

$$B_1, \dots, B_d, E_{1,n}, \dots, E_{n,n},$$

it follows that

$$\dim \mathcal{B} \leq d + n \leq (n-1)(n-2) + 1 + n = n^2 - 2n + 3.$$

Thus the desired upper bound for  $\dim \mathcal{B}$  holds in all cases.

The argument above shows that when we have the equality

$$\dim \mathcal{B} = d + n = (n-1)(n-2) + 1 + n = n^2 - 2n + 3,$$

then

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}e + \mathcal{M}E_{n,n}.$$

Moreover, from the equality  $d = \dim \mathcal{B}e = (n-1)(n-2) + 1$ , it follows from Agore [1, Prop. 2.5] (again appealing to the Appendix to dispense with the condition of algebraic closure) that there is an invertible matrix  $S$  in the set  $\mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n] + E_{n,n}$  such that  $S^{-1}\mathcal{B}eS$  is equal to one of

$$\mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n, C_1] + KE_{1,1}, \quad \mathcal{M}[R_n, C_n, R_{n-1}] + KE_{n-1, n-1}.$$

Since  $S^{-1}\mathcal{M}E_{n,n}S = \mathcal{M}E_{n,n}$ , we achieve the desired classification of  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

## 4 Appendix

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic 0 with algebraic closure  $\bar{F}$ . Given a proper subalgebra  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{M}_n(F)$  of maximum dimension, Agore [1, Prop. 2.5, Cor. 2.6] proved that the  $\bar{F}$ -span of  $\mathcal{C}$  is similar over  $\bar{F}$  to the  $\bar{F}$ -span of  $\mathcal{D}$  for some parabolic subalgebra  $\mathcal{D}$  of maximum dimension in  $\mathcal{M}_n(F)$ . The purpose of this Appendix is to deduce that  $\mathcal{C}$  is similar over  $F$  to  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** (Wallach) *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a subspace of  $\mathcal{M}_n(F)$  of dimension  $n-1$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_F \bar{F}$  has basis of one of the following two forms:*

- a)  $x_1 \otimes \lambda_1, x_2 \otimes \lambda_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \otimes \lambda_1$ , with  $\lambda_1 \in (\bar{F}^n)^*$ ,  $x_j \in \bar{F}^n$  and  $\lambda_1(x_j) = 0$ ,
- b)  $x_1 \otimes \lambda_1, x_1 \otimes \lambda_2, \dots, x_1 \otimes \lambda_{n-1}$ , with  $\lambda_j \in (\bar{F}^n)^*$ ,  $x_1 \in \bar{F}^n$  and  $\lambda_j(x_1) = 0$ .

*Then in case a)  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $F$ -conjugate (i.e. under  $\mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ ) to the span of the matrices  $E_{i,n}$  with  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , and in case b)  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $F$ -conjugate to the span of the matrices  $E_{n,i}$  with  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ .*

*Proof.* In either case, if  $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $XY = 0$  and  $X$  has rank 1. For  $X$  of rank 1, we have  $XF^n = Fy$  for some  $y \neq 0$ . Thus there exists  $\mu \in (F^n)^*$  with  $Xz = \mu(z)y = (y \otimes \mu)(z)$  for all  $z$ . We conclude that  $\mathcal{A}$  has a basis over  $F$  of the form  $X_i = y_i \otimes \mu_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

We now assume that case a) is true (the argument for the other case is essentially the same). In case a), there exists  $z \in \bar{F}^n$  such that

$$\{X_1(z), \dots, X_{n-1}(z)\}$$

is linearly independent over  $\bar{F}$ . This implies that

$$\mu_1(z) \cdots \mu_{n-1}(z) y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{n-1} \neq 0.$$

Thus  $y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}$  are linearly independent. But  $0 = X_i X_j = \mu_i(y_j) y_i \otimes \mu_j$ . Thus  $\mu_i(y_j) = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Let  $\nu$  be a non-zero element of  $(F^n)^*$  such that  $\nu(y_i) = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then  $\nu$  is unique up to non-zero scalar multiple. Thus  $y_i \otimes \nu$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  is an  $F$ -basis of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Clearly there exists  $g \in \text{GL}(n, F)$  such that if  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is the standard basis and  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  is the dual basis then  $gy_i = e_i$  and  $\nu \circ g = \xi_n$ . This completes the proof in case a).  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** (Wallach) *Suppose that  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{M}_n(F)$  is a subalgebra such that  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_F \bar{F}$  is either:*

- a) *conjugate to the parabolic subalgebra  $\mathcal{P}_{\bar{F}}$ ,*
- b) *conjugate to the parabolic subalgebra  $(\mathcal{P}_{\bar{F}})^T$ .*

*In case a)  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $F$ -conjugate to  $\mathcal{P}_F$ . In case b)  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $F$ -conjugate to  $\mathcal{P}_F^T$ .*

*Proof.* We just do case a) as case b) is proved in the same way. We look upon  $\mathcal{L}$  as a Lie algebra over  $F$ . Then Levi's theorem [4, p. 91] implies that  $\mathcal{L} = S \oplus R$  with  $S$  a semi-simple Lie algebra and  $R$  the radical (the maximal solvable ideal). Thus  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_F \bar{F} = S \otimes_F \bar{F} \oplus R \otimes_F \bar{F}$ . Therefore  $R \otimes_F \bar{F}$  is the radical of  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_F \bar{F}$ . If we conjugate  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_F \bar{F}$  to  $\mathcal{P}_{\bar{F}}$  via  $h \in \text{GL}(n, \bar{F})$ , then we see that

$$h[R \otimes_F \bar{F}, R \otimes_F \bar{F}]h^{-1}$$

has basis  $E_{i,n}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Thus hypothesis a) of Lemma 4.1 is satisfied for  $\mathcal{A} = [R, R]$ . There exists therefore  $g \in \text{GL}(n, F)$  such that  $g\mathcal{A}g^{-1}$  has basis  $E_{i,n}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Assume that we have replaced  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $g\mathcal{L}g^{-1}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has basis  $E_{i,n}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Since  $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}] \subset \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_F$  is exactly the set of elements  $X$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n(F)$  such that  $[X, \mathcal{A}] \subset \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}_F$ . Thus  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{P}_F$ , as both sides have the same dimension.  $\square$

## Acknowledgment

We are very grateful to Nolan Wallach for providing the Appendix and for many helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] A. L. Agore, The maximal dimension of unital subalgebras of the matrix algebra, *Forum Math.* **29** (2017), 1–5.
- [2] B. J. Gardner and R. Wiegandt, *Radical Theory of Rings*, M. Dekker, NY, 2004.
- [3] I. M. Isaacs, *Algebra: A Graduate Course*, Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, CA, 1994.
- [4] N. Jacobson, *Lie Algebras*, Courier Dover Publications, NY, 1979.
- [5] K. O’Meara, J. Clark, and C. Vinsonhaler, *Advanced Topics in Linear Algebra*, Oxford University Press, 2011.
- [6] C. S. Pazzis, On Gerstenhaber’s theorem for spaces of nilpotent matrices over a skew field, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **438** (2013), 4426–4438.
- [7] J. Rotman, *Advanced Modern Algebra: Third Edition, Part I*, AMS, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [8] N. Wallach, email dated 2/20/2017.